

Submission Form (Form 5)

Submission on Proposed Kaipara District Plan

Form 5: Submissions on a Publicly Notified Proposed District Plan under Clause 6 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991

Return your signed submission by Monday 30 June 2025 via:

Email: districtplanreview@kaipara.govt.nz (subject line: Proposed District Plan Submission)

Post: District Planning Team, Kaipara District Council, Private Bag 1001, Dargaville, 0340

In person: Kaipara District Council, 32 Hokianga Road, Dargaville; or
Kaipara District Council, 6 Molesworth Drive, Mangawhai

If you would prefer to complete your submission online, from 28 April 2025 please visit:

www.kaipara.govt.nz/kaipara-district-plan-review/proposed-district-plan

All sections of this form need to be completed for your submission to be accepted. Your submission will be checked for completeness, and you may be contacted to fill in any missing information.

Full name: Ian Beattie

Phone: 021707764

Organisation: Raupo Drainage Committee
(*the organisation that this submission is made on behalf of)

Email: beat.stace@gmail.com

Postal address: 147 Te Kowhai Rd Ruawai

Postcode: 0591

Address for service: name, email and postal address (if different from above):

Trade Competition

Pursuant to Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991, a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission may make a submission only if directly affected by an effect of the proposed policy statement or plan that:

- a) adversely affects the environment; and
- b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Please tick the sentence that applies to you:

☒ I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission; or

☐ I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

If you have ticked this box please select one of the following:

☐ I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission

☐ I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission

Signature:



Date: 29/06/25

(Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making the submission.)

Please note: all information contained in a submission under the Resource Management Act 1991, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information.

☐ I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission; or

☒ I do wish to be heard in support of my submission; and if so,

☒ I would be prepared to consider presenting my submission in a joint case with others making a similar submission at any hearing

(1) The specific provisions of the Proposed Plan that my submission relates to are:		(2) My submission is that: (include whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended and the reasons for your views)		(3) I seek the following decisions from Kaipara District Council. (Please give precise details for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand your concerns.)
Chapter/Appendix/ Schedule/Maps	objective/policy/rule/ standard/overlay	Oppose/support (in part or full)	Reasons	
Part 2- Hazards & Risks - Natural Hazards	High risk hazard areas	Oppose	The assessment criteria for the threshold is flawed.	The CFHZ modeling for Ruawai is based on the Region wide model. It is not reflective of the risk to Ruawai. This modeling methodology was recognised to be flawed by NRC. See reference to Ruawai Pluvial/Fluvial model below.
Part 2- Hazards & Risks - Natural Hazards	High risk hazard areas	Oppose	Significant number of properties incorrectly affected by High Risk Hazard area designation.	The inaccuracy of the CFHZ modeling has significant detrimental effects on a number of Ruawai properties.
Part 2 - Hazards & Risks - Natural Hazards	NH - P1	Support	A commendable policy, but it is not yet achieved	The Pluvial/Fluvial flood model for Ruawai was commissioned by NRC following significant push back by the Rauapo Drainage Committee. The model has been peer reviewed and ground truthed. It is a significant planning tool if available in its entirety. However NRC have only released flood extent models.
Part 2 - Hazards & Risks - Natural Hazards	High risk hazard areas	Oppose	Overall impact on Part 2 of the PDP including various policies and most rules	The overall aims of the PDP are diminished because of the impact of inaccurately designated High Risk Hazard Areas
Part 2 - Hazards & risks - Natural Hazards	NH - R4	Oppose	This rule effectively prohibits any further development in Ruawai and surrounds.	The flooding In Ruawai is Pluvial and in 1% AEP events, vast areas have no flooding or minor flooding of 100mm or less.
	NH - R4	Oppose		Kaipara District has many floodplains. Kaipara District has only one Engineered Flood Plain, The Rauapo Drainage District. The flood risk to Ruawai is much maligned and misunderstood. It has flood infrastructure second to none in the District. It is unique in design and management structure. This needs to be recognised within the rules of the PDP.
	NH - R4	Oppose		The PDP as it stands groups the properties of Ruawai with those throughout the Kaipara, located in active floodplains with little to no infrastructure nor plans to increase infrastructure. If the fully available modelling is made available to KDC a fully informed risk based assessment can be made on development proposals in the area.

Add further pages as required – please initial any additional pages